Crystal field of rare earth impurities in LaF_3

P. Novák*, J. Kuneš, K. Knížek

Institute of Physics of ASCR, Cukrovarnická 10, 162 00 Prague 6, Czech Republic

arXiv:1407.7669v1 [cond-mat.str-el] 29 Jul 2014

Abstract

The crystal field parameters of 13 trivalent lanthanide ions substituted for La in LaF_3 were calculated using the combination of the band structure and Wannier function calculations. Performing an atomic exact diagonalization with thus obtained crystal-field parameters we compute the crystal-field splitting of atomic multiplets. The calculation is compared with the available experimental results and a good agreement is found.

Keywords: crystal field, rare earth, ab initio calculation

1. Introduction

Lanthanum trifluoride doped with the rare-earth (R) ions is commonly used for the optical applications and as a material for electrodes in detectors. During the past decades the optical spectra of all R impurities except Pm in LaF_3 were measured and the results analyzed using the semiempirical crystal field models [1, 2]. With the exceptions of the work of Ishii *et al.* [3] and Brik *et al.* [4], based on the discrete variational multi-electron method (DV-ME), the *ab-initio* theory was missing, however. DV-ME method and its results are discussed and compared to the present ones in part 5. The survey of the attempts to calculate the crystal-field parameters (CFP) in the rare-earth compounds may be found in [5, 6].

A new theoretical approach has been recently used to calculate the crystal field parameters of rare-earth in aluminates, gallates, cobaltites and manganites with the orthorhombic perovskite structure [7, 8, 9]. The method uses the density functional theory band structure calculation, followed by a transformation of the Kohn-Sham Hamiltonian to the Wannier basis and an expansion of its local part in the spherical tensor operators. The CFP serve as an input to an atomic exact diagonalization program, which takes into account also the $4f$-$4f$ interaction, spin-orbit coupling and the Zeeman interaction. The calculated results agree remarkably well with the experiment: the crystal-field-split multiplet levels within a few meV and magnetic properties are correctly described as well. For any site symmetry the method yields an unambiguous set of CFP. This is important especially if the local symmetry of the R site is low and many CFP are needed to fully characterize the crystal field. Semiempirical methods, as well as the analysis of optical data make usually use of the least squares fitting, a procedure often yielding ambiguous results. With the exception of a single parameter, to be discussed below, the present method is fully *ab-initio* i.e. the only necessary inputs are the atomic composition and the crystal structure of the compound in question.

In the orthorhombic perovskites, to which the method was already successfully applied [7, 8, 9], the symmetry of the R site is C_s and 15 parameters, 3 real and 6 complex CFP, are necessary. The

*Corresponding author
Email address: novakp@fzu.cz (P. Novák)

situation of R impurities in LaF_3, which is considered here, is similar. The site symmetry of La^{3+} site in LaF_3 is C_2 and again 15 parameters, 3 real and 6 complex CFP, are necessary.

The paper is organized as follows: the method and the computational details are described in sections 2 and 3, respectively. The calculated energy levels and CFP are presented in section 4. In the same section the theoretical crystal field spectra are compared with the experimental data collected by Carnall *et al.* [1, 2]. Section 5 contains discussion, followed by the conclusions in section 6.

2. Methods

An effective Hamiltonian operating on the $4f$ electrons can be written as

$$\hat{H}_{eff} = \hat{H}_A + \hat{H}_Z + \hat{H}_{CF}, \tag{1}$$

where $\hat{H}_A$ is the spherically symmetric free ion Hamiltonian, $\hat{H}_Z$ corresponds to the Zeeman interaction and $\hat{H}_{CF}$ is the crystal-field term. In the Wybourne notation [10] $\hat{H}_{CF}$ has the form

$$\hat{H}_{CF} = \sum_{k=0}^{k_{max}} \sum_{q=-k}^{k} B_q^{(k)} \hat{C}_q^{(k)}, \quad \hat{C}_q^{(k)} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{7} [C_q^{(k)}]_{ij} f_i^\dagger f_j \tag{2}$$

where $\hat{C}_q^{(k)}$ is a spherical tensor operator of rank k acting on the $4f$ electrons of the R ion, for which k_{max} is equal to six. The coefficients $B_q^{(k)}$ are the CFP. Hermiticity of $\hat{H}_{CF}$ requires that $(B_{-q}^k)^* = (-1)^q B_q^k$. The operators $f_i^\dagger$, (f_i) create and annihilate an electron in an f-orbital i. The details of $\hat{H}_A$ are given e.g. in Ref. [11].

Calculation of the CFP proceeds in four steps:

1. Standard selfconsistent band calculation with $4f$ states included in the core. The result of this step is the Kohn-Sham potential, which is subsequently used in the next step. The calculation is non spin-polarized.
2. A Hamiltonian describing R $4f$ states together with fluorine $2p$ and $2s$ states subject to the Kohn-Sham potential from the previous step is diagonalized. To eliminate other valence states an orbital operator which adds a large positive constant to the potential acting on these states is used. Relative position of $4f$ and fluorine states is adjusted by applying similar operator to the fluorine states, which shifts their potential by the 'hybridization' parameter $-\Delta$ (the only parameter of method).
3. The $4f$ band states are transformed to Wannier basis using the wien2wannier [12] and wannier90 [13] packages. The result of this step, relevant for CFP calculation is the Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_W$ in the basis of $4f$ Wannier functions.
4. Local $4f$ Hamiltonian $\hat{h}^{loc}$ is obtained as the on-site part $\hat{H}_W$. Finally $\hat{h}^{loc}$ is expanded in series of spherical tensor operators. The coefficients of expansion are the CFP $B_q^{(k)}$.

To find $B_q^{(k)}$ we made use of the fact that $\hat{C}_q^{(k)}$ form complete orthogonal set of operators in the subspace of $4f$ states. Then

$$B_q^{(k)} = \frac{1}{n_{k,q}} \sum_{i=1}^{7} \sum_{j=i}^{7} h_{ij}^{loc} [\bar{C}_q^{(k)}]_{(ij)}, \tag{3}$$

where $n_{k,q}$ is the normalizing factor:

$$n_{k,q} = \sum_{i=1}^{7} \sum_{j=i}^{7} [\bar{C}_q^{(k)}]_{(ij)} [C_q^{(k)}]_{(ij)}. \tag{4}$$

With the CFP in hand we use a modified 'lanthanide' package [14] to solve the eigenvalue problem for Hamiltonian (1) and calculate the splitting of multiplets by the crystal field. Detailed description of the analysis may be found in [7, 8].

2.1. Hybridization parameter

The parameter Δ appears due to the hybridization between the rare-earth $4f$ states and the valence states of its ligands. In the $3d$ metal compounds the hybridization is in most cases a dominating source of the crystal field. For the Co:ZnO system Kuzian *et al.* [15] successfully reproduced the g-factors and the zero-field splitting, by treating the hopping between the cobalt $3d$ orbitals and the $2p$ states of the fluorine ligands as a perturbation. Our treatment of the hybridization is analogical to that of Kuzian *et al.* and is briefly described in Ref. [7]. Δ can be estimated using a charge transfer energy

$$\Delta \simeq E_{tot}(4f^{(n+1)}, N_{val} - 1) - E_{tot}(4f^n, N_{val}), \tag{5}$$

where $E_{tot}(4f^n, N_{val})$ is the total energy of the ground state of the system (n_{4f} electrons in $4f$ shell of R ion and N_{val} electrons in the valence band), while $E_{tot}(4f^{(n+1)}, N_{val}-1)$ corresponds to the excited state in which one of the valence electrons was transferred in the $4f$ electron shell. The hybridization parameter thus can be calculated by performing two calculations with $4f$ electrons treated as the core states - the first one with $4f^n, N_{val}$, the second with $4f^{(n+1)}, N_{val} - 1$ electron configurations. The results of such a calculation for R:LaF_3 system are presented in section 4.

3. Details of calculation

The LaF_3 crystallizes in trigonal symmetry ($P\bar{3}c1$ space group) with six La in the hexagonal unit cell [16]. To determine the band structure (steps 1 and 2 in the previous section) the WIEN2k package [17] was used with the exchange-correlation of the generalized-gradient approximation form [18]. For the pure LaF_3 compound the experimental crystal structure was taken from [16]. In R:LaF_3 one of the six La atoms was replaced by a rare-earth atom and the internal structure was optimized by minimizing the atomic forces. The resulting structure has only the identity and C_2 symmetry operations. The unit cell containing 24 atoms is displayed in Fig. 1. The eigenvalue problem was solved in 47 points of the irreducible part of the Brillouin zone and the number of basis functions was ~ 1780 (corresponding to parameter RK_{max}=7). The calculations were non-spin-polarized. The atomic radii of R and F were 2.4 and 2 a.u., respectively.

The multiplet splitting and magnetism of rare-earth ions depend only weakly on the free ion parameters, though there may be exceptions when the energy difference of the free ion multiplet is small. Carnall *et al.* [1, 2] analyzed systematically the available absorption and luminescence data of trivalent lanthanide ions doped into LaF_3. Using the least squares analysis the free ion parameters were obtained, which we used in Ref. [7, 8, 9], as well as here. In addition 9 real CFP were obtained, corresponding to the C_{2v} symmetry by which the actual C_2 symmetry was approximated.

The comparison between experimentally observed and calculated crystal field splittings of the $|L, S, J, M_J\rangle$ multiplets deserves special attention. The experimental data reported by different authors are summarized in [2]. Understandably not all energy levels were detected (for Pm the experimental data are missing altogether). This makes the comparison of theory and experiment complicated as in many cases the missing levels are not unambiguously identified. Moreover, the crystal field could lead to overlap of different $|L, S, J, M_J\rangle$ multiplets. Faced with this situation we characterize the agreement of theory and experiment by the mean square deviation

$$\chi = \left[\frac{1}{N_{\text{detected}}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\text{group}}} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (E_{i,j}^{exp} - E_{i,j}^{calc})^2 \right]^{1/2}, \tag{6}$$



Figure 1: (Color online)Unit cell of $R_{1/6}La_{5/6}F_3$ used in the calculation of CFP. The C_2 symmetry axis is along the axis a.

Table 1: R:LaF_3. N_{detected} is number of experimentally detected levels, energies of which are compared with the calculation. N_{group} is number of groups (isolated or overlapping multiplets) from which these levels originate. N_{total} is total number (detected and undetected) of levels in N_{group} groups.

R	Ce	Pr	Nd	Sm	Eu	Gd	Tb	Dy	Ho	Er	Tm	Yb
N_{group}	2	11	22	15	10	10	11	14	16	17	12	2
N_{detected}	7	75	104	65	31	54	51	77	137	80	56	6
N_{total}	7	90	115	68	59	57	99	84	170	84	90	7

where N_{group} equals to the number of multiplets with the provision that overlapping multiplets are treated as a single group. n_i is the number of states detected in the ith group and

$$N_{\text{detected}} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\text{group}}} n_i. \tag{7}$$

The energies $E_{i,j}^{exp}$ and $E_{i,j}^{calc}$ are the experimental and calculated energies, respectively, of the jth eigenstate belonging to the ith group. These energies are taken relative to the lowest energy of the group, which minimizes the influence of the free ion parameters. When some of the eigenstates are not experimentally detected several ways to match $E_{i,j}^{calc}$ and $E_{i,j}^{exp}$ are possible. We did not attempt to minimize χ by probing all such pairings, rather the correspondence used by Carnall *et al.* [2] was adopted. In Table I the numbers of experimental levels, which we below compare with those calculated, are given for each R, except Pm.



Figure 2: (Color online)Comparison of the hybridization parameter Δ calculated using eq. 5 with the value which minimizes the mean square deviation χ (eq. 6). The curve in this, as well as in the following figures,serves as a guide for the eyes only.

4. Results

4.1. Energy levels

The atomic program yields energies of all eigenstates arising from the electron configuration $4f^n$ (there are 7 such eigenstates for n_{4f}=1 and 13, maximum number 3432 is reached for n_{4f}=7, corresponding to Gd^{3+} ion). We calculated the energies as a function of the hybridization parameter Δ, which was varied between 0.1 to 1 Ry with a step 0.1 Ry. By comparison with the experimental data we found that optimal Δ lies between 0.3 and 0.5 Ry. In Fig. 2, the optimal Δ is compared to Δ calculated using (5). The dependence of the mean square deviation χ (6) on the Δ is shown in Fig. 3 for all R except Pm. For Nd^{3+} all of the levels corresponding to the ten low lying multiplets were detected and as an example the comparison of theory and experiment is shown in Fig. 4.

4.2. Crystal field parameters

Tables 2, 3 collect the CFP calculated for $\Delta = 0.4$ Ry. They refer to the orthogonal system depicted in Fig. 1 with axis z parallel to the hexagonal axis c, $x \parallel a$ and $y \perp a, c$. The dependence of CFP on the hybridization parameter is for $\Delta \geq 0.2$ smooth. For $\Delta < 0.2$ the Wannier functions loose their atomic character and the CFP values become scattered. For different R the character of $B_q^{(k)}(\Delta)$ dependence is similar, which is documented in Figs. 5 and 6 for R = Nd and R = Er, respectively. In these figures the absolute values $|B_q^{(k)}|$ are shown.

It is difficult to compare the calculated CFP with those obtained by Carnall *et al.* [1] by fitting the optical data. Carnall's *et al.* CFP are real because of an assumed, approximate site symmetry. We can compare, however, the values of $B_q^{(0)}$, which are real and, in addition, the quantities s_k

$$s_k = \left[\frac{1}{2k+1} \sum_{q=-k}^{k} |B_q^{(k)}|^2 \right]^{1/2}, \tag{8}$$



Figure 3: (Color online) The mean square deviation χ (eq. 6) as function of the hybridization parameter Δ.



Figure 4: (Color online) Nd:LaF_3. Comparison of experimental and calculated crystal field splitting for $\Delta = 0.4$ Ry and ten low lying multiplets. The energy, which was subtracted from the lowest eigenenergies of the four lowest multiplets, is explicitly given in the figure (units of cm^{-1}), for six higher lying groups of levels corresponding values are 11592, 12596, 13514, 14834 and 15997 cm^{-1}. Experimental data were taken from Ref. [2].



Figure 5: (Color online) Nd:LaF_3. Dependence of the absolute value of the CFP on the hybridization parameter Δ.



Figure 6: (Color online) Er:LaF_3. Dependence of the absolute value of the CFP on the hybridization parameter Δ.



Figure 7: (Color online) Parameters B_{20}, B_{40}, B_{60} as function of the number of $4f$ electrons. Full symbols correspond to results calculated with hybridization parameter $\Delta = 0.4$ Ry. Open symbols were determined using the CFP given by Carnall *et al.* [1].

Table 2: Real part of the CFP in R:LaF_3 (units of cm^{-1}). Hybridization parameter $\Delta = 0.4$ Ry.

kq	Ce	Pr	Pm	Nd	Sm	Eu	Gd	Tb	Dy	Ho	Er	Tm	Yb
20	-268	-274	-257	-241	-254	-260	-255	-248	-261	-340	-347	-343	-333
22	-52	-61	-104	-102	-106	-90	-101	-79	-130	-90	-79	-76	-76
40	608	527	498	474	437	420	408	395	354	292	273	259	247
42	-344	-306	-285	-271	-259	-247	-240	-229	-222	-214	-204	-195	-185
44	37	28	25	10	0	-6	-12	-19	-9	-16	-16	-15	-14
60	638	548	516	479	450	420	420	399	390	324	299	282	268
62	467	408	378	350	333	312	304	286	287	270	255	243	231
64	-340	-291	-267	-236	-222	-207	-197	-189	-181	-176	-165	-156	-148
66	-640	-550	-494	-452	-421	-398	-384	-363	-335	-325	-308	-293	-277

which were introduced by Leavitt [19]. The q-averages s_k are real and invariant with respect to the rotation of the coordinate system. This comparison is displayed in Figs. 7 and 8, respectively.

5. Discussion

We first discuss the DV_ME method [5] and its application to Pr:LaF_3 and Eu:LaF_3 as described in [3, 4]. The method calculates the multiplet splitting in two steps. In the first step the density functional theory based calculation is performed for a cluster consisting of the R^{3+} ion and its ligands. The rest of the crystal is taken into account by adding an effective Madelung potential to the Hamiltonian. In the second step the many-electron problem is solved by selecting as a basis the cluster one-electron eigenstates with the dominant $4f$ character. Choice of the ligands to be included in the cluster and the cluster embedding are difficult problems (cf. Ref. [20] and Fig. 1 in [3]). Another problem is connected with the one-electron part of the many-electron Hamiltonian. The on-site interaction of the $4f$ electrons with the potential they create themselves is not accounted



Figure 8: (Color online) Quantity s_k (see eq. 8) as function of the number of $4f$ electrons. Full symbols correspond to results calculated with hybridization parameter $\Delta = 0.4$ Ry. Open symbols are the CFP as given by Carnall *et al.* [1].

Table 3: Imaginary part of the CFP in R:LaF_3. Hybridization parameter $\Delta = 0.4$ Ry.

kq	Ce	Pr	Pm	Nd	Sm	Eu	Gd	Tb	Dy	Ho	Er	Tm	Yb
22	125	109	93	75	55	44	33	15	23	24	25	24	21
42	-265	-240	-220	-189	-182	-168	-165	-153	-172	-172	-165	-158	-150
44	524	470	439	415	398	384	373	358	342	330	314	300	285
62	1017	864	771	700	655	612	583	555	520	504	477	451	427
64	-394	-336	-295	-266	-246	-231	-218	-207	-190	-190	-182	-172	-163
66	641	567	533	495	472	451	439	423	404	384	362	344	326

for. This spurious self-interaction is inherent to most of the DFT based methods and it may seriously deform the calculated crystal field. Also the one-electron part, taken from the discrete variational calculation already contains the electron correlation, which is for the second time included in the many-electron Hamiltonian. This are likely to be the reasons why the DV-ME calculated crystal field for Eu:LaF_3 is in poor agreement with the experiment (cf. Table 1 in [4]). The agreement is significantly improved only when two semiempirical parameters are introduced. In the present method the self-interaction is avoided by calculating the potential with the $4f$ states in the core, where they contribute to the spherical part of the potential only (cf. 1st step in section 2) and there is no double counting of the correlation.

The present results show convincingly that the method of CFP calculation, described in section 2, can be successfully used also if the R site has a low symmetry. The mean square deviation χ shown in Fig. 3, calculated for optimal value of the hybridization parameter is, with exception of Yb, smaller than 30 cm^{-1}. We point out that no attempt was done to regroup the experimental results, which would make χ even smaller. The hybridization of the $4f$ states with the valence states of the fluorine plays an important role - as documented in Figs. 5 and 6 increase of the hybridization (i.e. reduction of the parameter Δ) changes some of the CFP by as much as 100 %. We note that large value of Δ corresponds to the vanishing hybridization. The dependence of CFP on Δ is smooth, allowing thus an interpolation if there is a need.

With increasing number of the $4f$ electrons the strength of crystal field the CFP of 4th and 6th order decrease, while 2nd order CFP remain almost unchanged (cf. Figs. 7, 8), a similar behavior we found for R^{3+} impurities in the $YAlO_3$ [7]. The values of s_k (see eq. 8) and the $B_0^{(k)}$ compare well with those deduced from the data of Carnall *et al.* [1] (Figs. 7, 8). The remaining difference may be connected with too high (16.7 %) content of R atoms in the unit cell. To decrease this content would require a supercell with several hundreds atoms, which is beyond our present computational possibilities. Other possible sources of the difference are the replacement of the actual C_2 local symmetry by C_{2v} in the Carnall *et al.* [1] analysis, uncertainty in experimental data (especially in cases of undetected levels) and keeping Δ fixed at 0.4 Ry.

Previously we treated Δ as an adjustable parameter [7, 8, 9]. In the present work we have also attempted to calculate Δ in a straightforward way described in section 2.1. The calculated Δ and Δ obtained from the fitting of experiment are in a fair agreement (Fig. 2), indicating thus that the model can be made fully *ab-initio*.

6. Conclusions

The method to calculate crystal field parameters was successfully applied to rare earth impurities in LaF_3, showing its applicability to R on sites with an arbitrary local symmetry. Despite the ionic character of the compound the R($4f$) - F($2p$, $2s$) hybridization plays a significant role. The parameter Δ, which is needed to describe this hybridization, can be calculated independently and the calculated value is in a fair agreement with value which fit the experiment. The method thus has potential to be fully *ab-initio* i.e. only the atomic composition and the crystal structure are needed to determine the crystal field. This would be particularly useful in systems in which the optical absorption data are scarce or missing and in systems with defects and/or several different R ions. The method has only moderate demands on the computing facility and it is now freely accessible to public [21].

References

[1] W.T. Carnall, G. L. Goodman, K. Rajnak, R.S. Rana, J. Chem. Phys. 90 (1989) 3443.

[2] W.T. Carnall, G. L. Goodman, K. Rajnak, R.S. Rana, AIP document no. PAPS JCPSA-90-3443-63 (1989).

[3] T. Ishii, M.G. Brik, K.Ogasawara, J. Alloys Compd. 380 (2004) 136.

[4] M.G. Brik, I. Tanaka, T. Ishii, K.Ogasawara, A. Nakamura, S. Watanabe, J. Alloys Compd. 408-412 (2006) 753.

[5] K. Ogasawara, S. Watanabe, H. Toyoshima, M.G. Brik in "Handbook on Physics and Chemistry of Rare Earths", Vol. 37, Chapter 231 (Elsevier,2007).

[6] P. Novák, chapter 7 in Rare Earth: New Research, ed. Zhaosen Liu, Nova Science Publishers, Inc., New York, 2013.

[7] P. Novák, K. Knížek, J. Kuneš, Phys. Rev. B 87 (2013) 205139.

[8] P. Novák, K. Knížek, M. Maryško, Z. Jirák, J. Kuneš, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 25 (2013) 446001.

[9] P. Novák, V. Nekvasil, K. Knížek, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 358-359 (2014), 228.

[10] B.G. Wybourne, Spectroscopic Properties of Rare Earth, Interscience, New York, 1965.

[11] S. Hufner, Optical Spectra of Transparent Rare Earth Compounds, Academic, New York, 1978.

[12] J. Kuneš, R. Arita, P. Wissgott, A. Toschi, H. Ikeda, K. Held, Comput. Phys. Commun. 181 (2010) 1888.

[13] A.A. Mostofi, J.R. Yates, Y.-S. Lee, I. Souza, D. Vanderbilt, N. Marzari, Comput. Phys. Commun. 178 (2008) 685.

[14] S. Edwardsson, D. Aberg, Comput. Phys. Commun. 133 (2001) 396.

[15] R.O. Kuzian, A.M. Daré, P. Sati, R. Hayn, Phys. Rev. B 74 (2006) 155201.

[16] A. Zalkin and D.H. Templeton, Acta Cryst. B 41 (1985), 91.

[17] P. Blaha, K. Schwarz, G. K. H. Madsen, D. Kvasnicka, J. Luitz, *WIEN2k, An Augmented Plane Wave + Local Orbitals Program for Calculating Crystal Properties*, K.-H. Schwarz, Technische Universität, Wien, Austria, 2001. ISBN 3-9501031-1-2.

[18] J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, M. Ernzerhof, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77 (1996) 3865.

[19] R.P. Leavitt, J. Chem. Phys. 77 (1982) 1661.

[20] K. Ogasawara, S. Watanabe, H. Toyoshima, T. Ishii, M.G. Brik, H. Ikeno, I.Tanaka, J. Solid State Chem. 178 (2005) 412.

[21] www.wien2k.at/Reg Users/Unsupported software goodies/CFP.